

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
IN AND FOR KING COUNTY

In re:)
JILL IRINA BORODIN,) NO. 09-3-02955-9 SEA
Petitioner,) FINDINGS AND ORDER ON
and) CONTEMPT REVIEW HEARING /
ADAM REED GROSSMAN,) Order Enforcing Parenting Plan
Respondent) and Garnishment
Clerk's Action Required

I. JUDGMENT SUMMARY

A. Judgment Creditor:	JILL I. BORODIN
B. Judgment Debtor:	ADAM R. GROSSMAN
C. Principal Judgment Amount:	
E. Attorney's Fees:	\$13,371
F. Costs:	\$317.41
G. Other Recovery Amount: (sanctions)	
I. Attorney's fees, costs and other recovery amounts Shall bear interest at 12% per annum.	
J. Attorney for Judgment Creditor:	Karma L. Zaike
K. Attorney for Judgment Debtor:	G. Geoffrey Gibbs

1
II. BASIS FOR FINDINGS

2 1.1 These findings are based on a hearing held on September 7th, 2011.
3 1.2 The following people attended: Ms. Borodin, Mr. Grossman, Ms. Zaike, Mr.
4 Gibbs, Ms. Emily Jarvis, and Mr. Mark Adams.

5
III. FINDINGS OF FACT

6 Upon the basis of the court record, the court FINDS:

7
8 2.1 Child Support Arrears. On February 9, Mr. Grossman was held in
9 contempt for intentional failure to pay child support timely. A review
10 hearing was scheduled for May 23.
11 2.2 At the May 23 review hearing, the court ordered Petitioner to obtain a Debt
12 Calculation from the Division of Child Support. On 6/2/2011, DCS provided
13 a Debt Calculation which reflected that Mr. Grossman's "running balance"
14 of child support arrears was \$7,649.61.
15 2.3 Additionally, Mr. Grossman provided a Case Payment History from DCS for
16 the May 23 hearing. Said Case Payment History documents that Mr.
17 Grossman has continually paid his child support late, even after he was
18 held in contempt on February 9.
19 2.4 The condition for the Father to purge contempt was that he bring himself
20 "current in his child support obligations as required in the Order of Child
21 Support [by February 23, 2011]...and remaining current in the future."
22 2.5 The Case Payment History and Debt Calculation from DCS dated 6/2/2011
23 show that although Mr. Grossman made a lump sum payment on February
24 18, he failed to pay child support in a timely manner for March, April, May
25 and June of 2011. Therefore, the Father has not met the purge conditions
for contempt. Additionally, as of June 2, 2011, there remains support
owing of \$7,649.61.
26 2.6 On February 9, 2011, Mr. Grossman was held in contempt of court for
failure to pay child support.

1 2.7 In the eight months following the contempt finding, Mr. Grossman has paid
2 child support late six times.

3 2.8 Failure to enroll in domestic violence perpetrator's treatment. At a
4 February 9 hearing, the Court accepted the Father's testimony that he had
5 made efforts to enroll in domestic violence treatment with Dr. Roland
6 Maiuro as an affirmative defense to contempt. Dr. Maiuro was not
7 accepting clients. Commissioner Jeske then directed Mr. Grossman to
8 enroll in one of the two other treatment programs set forth in Judge
9 Spearman's parenting plan order. Mr. Grossman has presented a letter
10 from one of the treatment providers, Doug Bartholomew that states simply,
11 "Due to the nature of our last telephone call I don't believe it would be
12 possible for us to work together productively and I am requesting that you
13 go elsewhere for you [sic] treatment". No further explanation was provided.
14 The third court ordered option was Wellspring Family Services. Based
15 upon Wellsprings' policy which is purportedly derived from WAC 388-60-
16 0225, Wellspring declined to take Mr. Grossman on as a client because he
17 was actively engaged in court action disputing or appealing the DV finding
18 and was not in compliance with court orders. As a result, Mr. Grossman
19 has not enrolled in any of the three DV treatment programs ordered by
20 Judge Spearman.

21 2.9 Mr. Grossman did enroll in a program that was not approved or ordered by
22 Judge Spearman that being the Options program in Lynnwood, WA.

23 2.10 Mr. Adams testified that Mr. Grossman will not be admitted to the
24 Wellspring program absent compliance with court orders. As set forth
25 below, Mr. Grossman has intentionally and willfully refused to comply with
 the court's orders.

26 2.11 Strauss Lane. The Decree of Dissolution required that the Respondent
27 pay and remain current on the mortgages for the Strauss Lane home. On
28 February 9, 2011, the court ordered the Father to make a "good faith effort"
29 to move toward refinancing the Strauss Lane Property. A review hearing
30 was ordered at which the Father was required to "demonstrate all efforts he
31 has made to comply with the refinance requirement including producing
32 documentary proof he has sought to refinance..." In eight months, Mr.
33 Grossman has failed to provide any documentary proof or testimony that
34 he has made efforts to comply with this provision.

35 2.12 Mr. Grossman filed a motion in bankruptcy court in the spring of 2011
36 requesting that his bankruptcy be converted to a Chapter 13 proceeding.
37 In support of his motion, Mr. Grossman testified as follows:

1 • “...through my management and my recently acquired
2 employment...from which I earn a gross monthly salary of
3 \$7,000...¹
4 • “Luckily, my income is such that I can afford to pay my
unsecured creditors a 100% dividend.”²

5 2.13 Based on Mr. Grossman’s testimony in bankruptcy court, he has
6 substantial ability to maintain the Strauss Lane mortgage. He has provided
no testimony as to why he has failed to maintain this debt.

7 2.14 868 Montcrest. This property was unequivocally awarded to the
8 Petitioner/Wife in the 12/14/2010 decree of dissolution. The title was held
9 by 868 Montcrest Family Trust. Respondent/Grossman’s assertion that he
was awarded this property as part of Terrington Davies or Ptarmigan Fund
10 is without merit.

11 2.15 Subsequent to the Decree, Mr. Grossman teamed up with Irene Miller and
12 Keywest Financial. Mr. Grossman transferred assets to Keywest without
receiving consideration and without paying off any debts associated with
13 property transferred to Keywest. According to the bankruptcy trustee, this
transfer was a violation of bankruptcy law.

14 2.16 Because 868 Montcrest held title in the 868 Montcrest Family Trust, Irene
15 Miller and Keywest Financial could not have known about this property
except from information held exclusively from Mr. Grossman.

16 2.17 Documents show that although Irene Miller signed transfer documents as
17 managing partner of Terrington Davies, Mr. Grossman continued to
manage all financial accounts in the name of Terrington Davies through
18 Charles Schwab. Mr. Grossman transferred approximately \$160,000 from
Schwab to Ptarmigan on January 18, 2011. Mr. Grossman has never
19 provided any tracing of funds received from the sale of 868 Montcrest.
Public records, including the Deed of Trust show that the property realized
20 at least \$215,000.

21 2.18 Mr. Grossman’s post-decree transfer of the Montcrest property has
22 rendered him permanently incapable of complying with Judge Spearman’s

24 ¹ Declaration of Adam Grossman, dated 5/18/2011, US Bankruptcy Court Cause No. 10-19817.

25 ² *Id.* at page 5, lines 12-13.

1 order that the Moncrest property be transferred to Ms. Borodin.
2 Accordingly, Mr. Grossman's own willful act has made him perpetually
3 ineligible to enter the Wellsprings program. Therefore, even though
4 temporary disqualification based upon Mr. Grossman's exercise of his right
5 to appeal might be a dubious legal basis for finding him in contempt for
failure to enroll, Mr. Grossman's willful engagement in conduct that
effectively precludes him from ever entering the ordered treatment program
is sufficient for a finding of contempt.

6 2.19 Furthermore, it is clear from the record presented that Mr. Grossman has
7 repeatedly engaged in activities designed to obfuscate the character of the
property before the court and complicate the ultimate distribution of assets.
8 It is notable that even Mr. Grossman's own attorney could not state who
held title to the Montcrest property. Mr. Grossman should be held
9 accountable for the costs of his gamesmanship and, therefore, Ms. Borodin
10 is awarded attorney fees in the amount of \$13,371 and costs of \$317.41.
2.20

11 **IV. ORDER**

12 THIS MATTER having come on before the undersigned Judge of the above
13 captioned Court on the Petitioner/Mother's motion for contempt and the Court having
14 read and heard the Respondent's response and the Court having had the opportunity
15 to review the files and records of the case, and considering itself advised in the
16 premises, NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY

18 ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Mr. Grossman did not purge
19 contempt. Mr. Grossman continues to have a substantial unpaid judgment for past
20 due child support. He has failed to bring himself into compliance with his support
21 obligations as required; AND IT IS FURTHER

23 ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Father has failed to enroll
24 in domestic violence perpetrator's treatment with one of the three ordered treatment
25 providers. The Court finds that Mr. Grossman intentionally sold the 868 Montcrest

1 property which was awarded to the Wife in the Decree. Mr. Grossman has stated in
2 several post-decree declarations that he was awarded 868 Montcrest which is
3 contrary to the clear language in the Decree. Mr. Grossman intentionally and willfully
4 violated the order by facilitating in and participating in the sale of Montcrest. The sale
5 of the Montcrest property has rendered Mr. Grossman permanently unable to satisfy
6 the terms of Judge Spearman's order and, therefore, perpetually barred from
7 enrolling in the Wellsprings treatment program.

9 Mr. Grossman received multiple warnings that if he failed to bring himself into
10 compliance with the court's orders, he would be subject to incarceration as a coercive
11 measure to force compliance.

12 Therefore, in light of the fact that the Montcrest property has been sold and is
13 no longer available for transfer to Ms. Borodin as ordered by Judge Spearman, Mr.
14 Grossman must:

- 16 1. Transfer all proceeds from the sale of the Montcrest property
17 (\$215,000) to Ms. Borodin by September 15th, 2011 in lieu of transfer of
18 the property itself;
- 19 2. Bring the current mortgage on the Strauss Lane property current by
20 October 8, 2011; and
- 21 3. Be current in his child support obligation by October 15th, 2011.

23 **Failure to comply with any of these requirements in full on the time table
24 set forth above will result in incarceration.**

1 A review hearing is set on October 20th, 2011 at 8:30am in W-813 for Mr.
2 Grossman to provide proof of compliance. Failure to provide the requisite proof will
3 result in incarceration. Upon proof of full compliance, the Court will determine how
4 to best address the issue of domestic violence treatment.
5

6 AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the
7 Petitioner/Mother is awarded attorney's fees of \$13,371, costs of \$317.41 and
8 sanctions of \$500 for the Father's bad faith and ongoing intransigence;

9 AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the
10 motion to order Mr. Grossman to sign the travel authorization form was rendered
11 moot by virtue of the fact that Mr. Grossman voluntarily signed the document in open
12 court.
13

14 AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Ms.
15 Borodin's motion for garnishment of funds in Mr. Gibbs IOLTA trust account is
16 denied without prejudice in light of the other significant relief granted herein.
17
18

Dated: Sept. 7, 2011


JUDGE JEFFREY M. RAMSELL